ON THE EROSION AND THICKNESS OF SHELLS OF THE FRESH-WATER MUSSELS.

BY N. M. GRIER, PH. D., HOLLINS COLLEGE.

In connection with another investigation, I had opportunity to summarize what is apparently most of the literature dealing with these little discussed and connected phases of the ecology of the Naiades, and now wish to present it in the light of other points this investigation brought out.

Hey (1), compared shells of U. pictorum and U. tumidus from the Ouse and Foss Rivers in England. The Ouse River is a wide and deep stream with a great deal of mud and receives a variety of drainage material. Hey believed the erosion of the shells in it was due either to the dissolved CO₂ in the water, or the rapidity of the current, for in the Foss River, where conditions were generally opposite ones, they showed little such disfigurement or none. Shrubsole (2) states erosion in shells may be attributed to the low percentage of lime in the water, which he analyzed, and found to be positively correlated with this fact. Beauchamp (3), also, felt that erosion might be due to dissolved carbon dioxide, for he found that shells were considerably eroded in streams flowing through limestone formations: moreover dead shells in water containing an abundance of lime were similarly affected. March (4), however, states that shells from districts highly charged with CO2 have thin shells, which are not eroded at the beaks, and was inclined to attribute this to the absence of humic acid, "which does not occur where limestone does; or the absence or excess of chalk." Cooper (5) states that badly deformed shells are found in water of excessive saltness, while Baker (6) noted in Cardium, a marine pelecypod, that thinness of shell seemed correlated with the saltness of the water. Finally, Rich (7) tells of some shells (Unio complanatus) from a soft-water lake in New York which were almost free from lime. Further on in this paper it will be shown that while the waters of Lake Erie contain more lime than those of the Upper Ohio Drainage, shells are comparatively thicker in the latter.

It is at once observed that more of the above writers ascribe

erosion of shells to the presence of CO2 in the water. This is also confirmed in a way from the interpretation of geologic data which gives evidence of the solvent power of "carbonic acid." Not only is CO₂ being continually liberated in nature in other ways, but there is hardly any doubt but that the interaction of humic acid often present in streams with lime may also produce CO2. Thus the observation of Shrubsole, whose shells were collected from a drainage containing a diversified material, may plausibly fit in here. Of course the fact must never be excluded that coarser material carried along by the current also plays a part in the erosion of shells, but the consequences of such a factor may be intensified by the chemical reactions which already may have taken place. Most of the eroded shells I have examined come from streams having an abundance of gravel. Again, it is probable that in some cases an abundance of lime in a stream may neutralize the humic acid before the latter can produce any marked effect.

Later on, some evidence will be presented in support of March's contention to the effect that high CaCO₃ content of the water somehow inhibits absorption of material, preventing the shell from becoming as thick as it might. This, however, is only a phase of the well-established principle that living cells are able to control the absorption of substances used in their metabolism. Since it is admitted that the lime of shells comes from the water in which they live, there is reason to think there may be some correlation—positive or negative—between the amount of lime present and the thickness of the shells. Several investigators have indicated their probable attack of this problem, but so far there does not seem to be any published results.

Having already secured data on the thickness of the shell and reduced it to a convenient factor, (the thickness just superior to the pallial line directly beneath the umbo, divided by the height), I found a publication of the U. S. Geological Survey (8) which fortunately gave analyses of the water at the same or what seem to be reasonably adjacent points to where my material had been collected. All the localities concerned—collecting, and points where analysis of water was taken, are indicated in the data which appear to correlate for my conclusions in the table.

Stations at which analyses taken with remarks.	Parker, Tarentum. Natrona, Pittsburgh. Natrona, Pittsburgh. Erie, Pa. At nearest point, Meadville. Sharon. Erie, Pa. Exertion. Exception. Skaron and Greenville a greater alkalinity at lower station.
Parts per million CaCO ₃ .	51.4 61.4 61.1 61.1 62.1 63.4 66.8 66.8 66.8 66.8 66.8 66.8 66.8 66
T. B.	1182 1182 1182 121 121 1495 1506 1485 1485 1477 1221 1221 1221 1221 1147 1162 1162 1162 1162 1162 1162 1162 116
Locality.	Allegheny River Ohio River Monongahela River Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie Allegheny River Check Check Conquencasing Fresque Isle Bay, Lake Erie Allegheny River French Creek Allegheny River French Creek Allegheny River Kelly, Allegheny Biver, Warren, Hickory Kelly, Allegheny Biver, Kelly Kiskiminitas Drainage Kelly, Allegheny River Little Mahoning Creek Kelly, Allegheny River Clarksyille
Genus and Species.	Fusconaia flava Fusconaia flava Fusconaia flava Fuscanaia flava Amblema costata Pleurobema obliquum Pleurobema obliquum
No. Spec. measured.	8000000000 4 404 40000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000

*Where no specific locality is stated, shells from nearest point on map to locality where analysis was made are to be considered.

Stations at which analyses taken with

Parts per million CaCO₃.

m. m. Th.

Locality.

Genus and Species.

No. Spec. measured.

TABLE-Continued,

remarks.

Erie, Pa. Erie, Pa. Kiskiminitas River. Tarentum.

Exception. Tarentum. Exception. arentum.

Elliptio dilatatus

ដូច្នាក់ ក្រុក្សា

P. obliquum pauperculum

90 90 50 51.4 51.4 51.4

Pittsburgh. Average, Sharon, Greenville.

Cheat River. Tarentum.

Jonnoquenessing.

Sharon. Greenville. Erie, Pa. Erie, Pa. French Creek.

35 4.16 66 66 61 61 61 61 61 61 64 74 74 74

.1181 .0921 .0952 .0901 .1104 .1320

Allegheny River
Ohio River
Shemango River
Shemango River
Sippery Rock Creek
Greenville, Shemango River
Shemango River
Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie

Elliptio dilatatus

Elliptio dilatatus

Elliptio dilatatus

Elliptio dilatatus

Elliptio dilatatus

Elliptio dilatatus

Elliptio dilatatus sterkii

Elliptio dilatatus sterkii

Elliptio dilatatus sterkii

Cheat River, Monongabela Tribu-

Elliptio dilatatas

Conemaugh and Kiskiminitas Rivers.

20



Nearest profits. Sharon and and sharon and the second state of the

Parker. Kiskiminitas River, analysis.

Tarentum.

Allegheny River Quemaboning Kelly, Allegheny River Shenango River, Clarksville,

Lasmigona costata . . .

Lasmigona costata

Sharpaville . . .

French Creek





























		の はまる。 (本) はいことの (本) はいまる (本)			
No. Spec. easured.	Genus and Species.	Locality.	J. B.	Parts per million CaCO,	Stations at which analyses taken with remarks.
	Lasmigona costata Lasmigona costata Anodonta grandis Anodonta grandis Anodontoides ferussacianus Anodontoides ferussacianus Paraptera fragilis Paraptera fragilis Proptera alata Proptera alata Proptera alata Proptera alata Proptera alata Broptera alata Laupailis recta Eurynia recta Eurynia recta Eurynia recta Eurynia recta Eurynia recta Lampsilis luteola Lampsilis luteola Lampsilis luteola	Shenango River, Harbor Bridge. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. Shenango River. Wolfe Creek, Connoquenessing. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. Linesville, Shenango River. Greenville, Shenango River. Baver, Ohio River. Beaver, Ohio River. Beaver, Ohio River. Charleroi, Monongahela River. Neville Island, Ohio River. Allegheny River. Ohio River. Allegheny River. Allegheny River. Allegheny River. Allegheny River. Allegheny River. Allegheny River. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. French Creek. Allegheny River. Allegheny River. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Brie. Allegheny River.	0.0558 0.0558 0.0471 0.0510 0.0510 0.0500 0.	4, 12, 12, 12, 13, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14	Erie, Pa. At nearest points, Anal., Greenville, Sharon. Connoquenessing. Erie, Pa. Greenville. Sharon. Erie, Pa. Fitsburgh. Briever Falls. Erie, Pa. Monogahela. Pittsburgh. Pittsburgh. Pittsburgh. Frentum. Analysis. Pittsburgh. Erie, P. French Creek. French Creek. French Creek. French Parker.
			_		

	Stations at which analyses taken with remarks.	Connoquenessing Creek. Natrona. Monongahela. Pittsburgh. Little Mahoning Creek. Pittsburgh. Erie, Pa. Erie, Pa. Natrona. Mahoning, Little. Natrona. Pittsburgh. Connoquenessing Creek. Erie, Pa. Erie, Pa. Erie, Pa. Erie, Pa. Erie, Pa.
	Parts per millions CaCO _p .	66 51.4 61.2 61.4 65.1 65.1 66.1 66.1 66.1 66.1 66.1 66.1
	n. m. Th.	1185 1.1271 1.1271 1.163 1.163 1.1041 1.1041 1.1011 1.1161 1.1161 1.1016 1.1038
	Locality.	Slippery Rock Creek. Allegheny River. Monongahela River. Dittle Mahoning Creek Monongahela River. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. Mosgrove, Allegheny River. Little Mahoning Creek. Allegheny River. Ohlo River. Shenango River. Shenango River. Slippery Rock Creek. Slippery Rock Creek. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie.
	Genus and Species.	Lampsilis luteola rosacea Lampsilis ovata canadensis Lampsilis ovata canadensis
	No. Spec. measured.	∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞≈∞≈≈≈≈≈≈≈≈ ••••••••••••••••••••

Fron fied of sented

1. I
Draine

1. I Draine lated v 2.

2. the thi CaCC WI

latior
pear
prese
ing t
enter
their
para

tion pau are cert ma

ph; one enc

lov rec

al al al

Ri Bi T

i:

From the table the following conclusion may be drawn, qualified of course by the conditions under which the data is presented:

1. In all or the majority of cases discussed from the Upper Ohio Drainage, it appears that the thickness of the shell is positively correlated with the percentage of lime in the water.

2. In all the cases of the species from Lake Erie, it appears that the thickness of the shell is negatively correlated with the percentage of CaCO₃ in the water.

Why the shells of Lake Erie do not follow the type of correlation obtained for those from the Upper Ohio (should this appear perfectly substantiated), must be largely speculative at present, but the following facts are offered in the light of affecting the ultimate explanation. Walker, (9), has already indicated the general differences between the shells of L. Erie and their parent forms of the Upper Ohio. L. Erie shells are comparatively little eroded, shorter, greater relative degree of inflation, and in some species other characteristics indicating a depauperate type of growth. Certain characteristics of this type are so marked that it has been considered justifiable to assign certain L. Erie shells the rank of varieties (10). Possibly we may recognize the less relative thickness of L. Erie shells as a physiological variation keeping touch with the morphological ones. Dr. Walker in correspondence suggests that these differences as above described may be due to different physical conditions present in L. Erie such as the freedom from disturbance, lower temperature and greater alkalinity of the water. Baker recorded Cardium thinnest where the water had the greater saltiness. Comparative and representative analyses of L. Erie and Upper Ohio water show that the former has twice as great alkalinity, and in addition to the greater amount of CaCO3 as already pointed out, a greater proportion of sodium and potassium sulfates, and a large quantity of magnesium carbonate and sulfate which are not reported from the Upper Ohio Drainage. These latter elements occur in sea water to a higher degree than is usually ever reported for fresh water, and their presence may account in the light of the observations I have given, for the effect brackish water seems to have in malforming and depauperating shells, although of course in this particular case the excess of CaCO₃ itself, may inhibit extended absorption of itself, or this be prevented by the presence of other compounds. It conclusion, I wish to express my obligation to Dr. A. E. Ortmann, on whose material at the Carnegie Museum those observations were made.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- 1. Hey, W. C. "Freshwater Shells in the Ouse and Foss." Journal Cos. chology, Vol. 3, no. 9, 1882.
- 2. Shrubsole, G. W. "Erosion of Certain Freshwater Shells." Journal Coachology, Vol. 2, no. 3, 18.
- 3. Beauchamp, W. "Eroded Shells." Conchol. Exchange, Vol. 1, p. 56,
- 4. March, M. C. "Studies in Morphogenesis of Certain Pelcypods." 4a. Preliminary Note on Variation of *U. pictorum*, *U. tumidus*, *Anodonta cygnes.*" Mem. and Proc. Manchester Lit. and Phil. Soc., 1910-11, p. 1-18.
- 5. Cooper, J. E. "Note on Decollated Shells." Journal Conchol. Vol 13, no. 1, 1910.
- 6. Baker, F. C. "On some Variations of Cardium edule apparently correlated to the conditions of Life." Phil. Trans. 1889.
- 7. Rich, S. C. "An aberrant Form of U. complanatus, Dillwyn." Science, N. S. Vol. XLII, no. 1086, 1915.
- 8. Lewis, S. G. "Quality of Water in the Upper Ohio River Basin and at Erie, Pa." Water Supply Paper, 161, U. S. Geol. Survey, 1906.
- 9. Walker, Bryant. "Unione Fauna of the Great Lakes." NAUTILUS 27, 1913.
- 10. Grier, N. M. "New Varieties of Naiades from L. Erie." Nautilus 32, 1918.

A NEW ALASKAN CHITON.

BY WILLIAM HEALEY DALL.

SCHIZOPLAX MULTICOLOR n. sp.

Chiton depressed, broad, wider behind than in front, maroon varied with white streaks, with a rather wide girdle, the surface of which is covered with soft bristles like those of *Mopalia muscosa*, among which are sparsely scattered, irregularly disposed, longer translucent spicules; surface of the valves minutely uniformly reticulate under the lens, appearing smooth to the unaided eye; the mesial suture evident, the fifth valve widest, the

posterio tex at the with two which the walves interna U. S. St.

dorff
the be
is pre
proul
ton c

Рv

m w el

> a r c